

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE  
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZW 96/77      APRIL

J. VAN DE LUNE

A NOTE ON NONVANISHING FOURIER TRANSFORMS

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

BIBLIOTHEEK MATHEMATISCH CENTRUM  
AMSTERDAM

*Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.*

*The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).*

# A note on nonvanishing Fourier transforms

by

J. van de Lune

## ABSTRACT

It is shown that if  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $\phi$  is monotonically non-increasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  whereas there exists some interval on which  $\phi$  is strictly decreasing then the Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  of  $\phi$  has no real zeros.

Moreover it is shown that the condition "there exists some interval on which  $\phi$  is strictly decreasing" is not a necessary condition in order to have  $\hat{\phi}(t) \neq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: *Fourier transforms, Tauberian theorems.*

1. Recently L.A. RUBEL [1] proposed the following problem: Prove that if  $\phi \in L^1 := L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\phi \geq 0$ ,  $\phi(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  outside an interval  $[a, b]$  and  $\phi$  is strictly decreasing on  $[a, b]$  then the span of all translates of  $\phi$  is dense in  $L^1$ .

By Wiener's general Tauberian theorem for  $L^1$  (c.f. [2; p.9]) the span of all translates of some  $\phi \in L^1$  is dense in  $L^1$  if and only if the Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  of  $\phi$  has no real zeros.

From this it is clear that RUBEL's result is an immediate consequence of the following more general

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\phi \in L^1$ ,  $\phi(x) = 0$  for all  $x < a$  and  $\phi$  is monotonically non-increasing on  $[a, \infty)$  whereas there exists some interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ , on which  $\phi$  is strictly decreasing, then the Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  of  $\phi$  has no real zeros.*

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality we may assume that  $a = 0$ . From the conditions in the theorem it is clear that

$$(1) \quad \phi(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \phi(x) < \infty \quad \text{for all } x > 0,$$

(note that  $\phi(+0)$  is possibly not finite)

$$(3) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \phi(x) dx > 0,$$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \beta.$$

We proceed by contradiction. If the theorem is false then there exists a  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(6) \quad \hat{\phi}(t_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx = 0.$$

From (3) it is clear that  $t_0 \neq 0$  and since  $\phi$  is real we have

$$(7) \quad \overline{\hat{\phi}(t_0)} = \hat{\phi}(-t_0)$$

so that we may assume that  $t_0 > 0$ .

Now choose any  $\theta > 0$  and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad 0 &= \hat{\phi}(t_0) = \left\{ \int_0^\theta + \int_\theta^\infty \right\} e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx = \\
 &= \int_0^\theta e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx + \frac{1}{it_0} \int_\theta^\infty \phi(x) d e^{it_0 x} = \\
 &= \int_0^\theta e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx + \frac{1}{it_0} \left\{ \phi(x) e^{it_0 x} \Big|_{x=\theta}^{x=\infty} - \int_\theta^\infty e^{it_0 x} d\phi(x) \right\} = \\
 &\quad (\text{since } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0) \\
 &= \int_0^\theta e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx - \frac{1}{it_0} \phi(\theta) e^{i\theta t_0} - \frac{1}{it_0} \int_\theta^\infty e^{it_0 x} d\phi(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(9) \quad -it_0 e^{-i\theta t_0} \int_0^\theta e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx + \phi(\theta) = - \int_\theta^\infty e^{it_0(x-\theta)} d\phi(x).$$

Defining

$$(10) \quad h(\theta) = -it_0 e^{-i\theta t_0} \int_0^\theta e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx, \quad (\theta > 0)$$

and

$$(11) \quad h^*(\theta) = \operatorname{Re} h(\theta), \quad (\theta > 0)$$

we have

$$(12) \quad h^*(\theta) + \phi(\theta) = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \cos t_0(x-\theta) d\Psi(x)$$

where  $\Psi = -\theta$ .

Since  $t_0 > 0$  we thus obtain by the substitution  $t_0(x-\theta) = u$

$$(13) \quad h^*(\theta) + \phi(\theta) = \int_0^{\infty} \cos u d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) .$$

Now observe that the (non-degenerate) interval

$$(14) \quad [\alpha t_0, \beta t_0] \subset [0, \infty)$$

contains a subinterval  $[\gamma, \delta]$ , say, such that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(15) \quad \cos u \leq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } u \in [\gamma, \delta] .$$

Since  $\Psi = -\phi$  is non-decreasing on  $[\theta, \infty)$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad h^*(\theta) + \phi(\theta) &= \left\{ \int_0^{\gamma} + \int_{\gamma}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \right\} \cos u d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^{\gamma} d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\gamma}^{\delta} d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) = \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) - \varepsilon \int_{\gamma}^{\delta} d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) = \\ &= -\Psi(\theta) - \varepsilon \int_{\gamma}^{\delta} d\Psi(\theta + \frac{u}{t_0}) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$(17) \quad h^*(\theta) \leq \varepsilon \left\{ \Psi(\theta + \frac{\gamma}{t_0}) - \Psi(\theta + \frac{\delta}{t_0}) \right\} .$$

Since

$$(18) \quad |h(\theta)| \leq t_0 \int_0^\theta \phi(x) \, dx$$

we have

$$(19) \quad \lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} h(\theta) = 0$$

so that certainly

$$(20) \quad \lim_{\theta \downarrow 0} h^*(\theta) = 0.$$

Hence, taking limits for  $\theta \downarrow 0$  we obtain from (17) that

$$(21) \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \{ \Psi(\frac{\gamma}{t_0} + 0) - \Psi(\frac{\delta}{t_0} + 0) \}$$

and, since  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows that

$$(22) \quad \phi(\frac{\gamma}{t_0} + 0) \leq \phi(\frac{\delta}{t_0} + 0) \quad .$$

However, observing that

$$(23) \quad \alpha \leq \frac{\gamma}{t_0} < \frac{\delta}{t_0} \leq \beta$$

and that  $\phi$  is strictly decreasing on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  we must have

$$(24) \quad \phi(\frac{\gamma}{t_0} + 0) > \phi(\frac{\delta}{t_0} + 0) \quad .$$

This contradicts (22) and completes the proof.

2. In theorem 1, the condition "there exists some (non-degenerate) interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  on which  $\phi$  is strictly decreasing" is not a necessary condition as may be seen from the following

THEOREM 2. Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  be a strictly decreasing sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ . In addition let  $\{w_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  be a monotonically non-decreasing sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = \infty$ . Define  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ w_n & \text{if } a_{n+1} < x \leq a_n \end{cases}$$

and let  $\phi \in L^1$ , i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w_n (a_n - a_{n+1}) < \infty.$$

Then the Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  of  $\phi$  has no real zeros.

PROOF. Suppose the theorem is false. Then there exists a  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $t_0 > 0$  and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = \hat{\phi}(t_0) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{it_0 x} \phi(x) dx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w_n \int_{a_{n+1}}^{a_n} e^{it_0 x} dx = \\ &= \frac{1}{it_0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w_n (e^{it_0 a_n} - e^{it_0 a_{n+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w_n \{\cos(t_0 a_n) - \cos(t_0 a_{n+1})\} = 0$$

or, equivalently



$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{M, N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-M}^N w_n \{ \cos(t_0 a_n) - \cos(t_0 a_{n+1}) \} = 0.$$

Setting  $c_k = \cos(t_0 a_k) - 1$  we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n=-M}^N w_n \{ \cos(t_0 a_n) - \cos(t_0 a_{n+1}) \} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=-M}^N w_n (c_n - c_{n+1})$$

which, by summation by parts,

$$= w_{-M} c_{-M} + \sum_{n=-M+1}^N c_n (w_n - w_{n-1}) - w_N c_{N+1}.$$

Since  $\phi \in L^1$  it is clear that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} w_{-N} = 0$  and thus, since  $|c_{-n}| \leq 2$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} w_{-N} c_{-N} = 0.$$

We shall now show that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} w_M c_{M+1} = 0.$$

We have

$$(2.9) \quad w_M c_{M+1} = w_M \{ \cos(t_0 a_{M+1}) - 1 \} =$$

$$= \frac{\cos(t_0 a_{M+1}) - 1}{(t_0 a_{M+1})^2} t_0^2 (w_M a_{M+1}^2)$$

and since

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} t_0 a_{M+1} = 0$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos x - 1}{x^2} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

it suffices to show that

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} w_M a_{M+1}^2 = 0.$$

Since

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} a_M = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < a_{N+1} < a_N$$

it clearly suffices to show that  $w_M a_M$  is bounded for  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using the monotonicity of  $w_n$  we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} w_M a_M &= w_M \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{n+1}) = \\ &= \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} w_M (a_n - a_{n+1}) \leq \sum_{n=M}^{\infty} w_n (a_n - a_{n+1}) \leq \int_0^{\infty} \phi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and (2.8) follows.

Hence

$$(2.15) \quad 0 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n (w_n - w_{n-1}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \{\cos(t_0 a_n) - 1\} (w_n - w_{n-1}).$$

Plainly each term in the above series is  $\leq 0$  and hence  $= 0$ . However,  $\cos(t_0 a_n) < 1$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  and thus, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = 0$ , there exists at least one term in the above series which is non zero. This contradiction completes our proof.

If we replace the condition " $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = \infty$ " by the condition " $w_n$  is strictly increasing" then we obtain from (2.15) that  $\cos(t_0 a_n) - 1 = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$(2.16) \quad (0 < ) t_0 a_n = k_n \cdot 2\pi \quad \text{for some } k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

contradicting our assumption that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

Finally, we may also replace the condition " $w_n$  is strictly increasing" by the condition " $w_n$  is non-decreasing and  $w_n < \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w_m$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ".

We thus obtain the following

THEOREM 3. *If the stepfunction  $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , (in the sense of theorem 2) belongs to  $L^1$  and is monotonically non-increasing and assumes infinitely many different values in every neighborhood of 0, then  $\hat{\phi}(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

The condition "assumes infinitely many different values in every neighborhood of 0" cannot be deleted in general as may be seen from a simple example such as:  $\phi(x) = 1$  if  $x \in (0, 1)$  and  $\phi(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin (0, 1)$ .

From the above considerations it also follows that the Fourier transform of a non-increasing stepfunction (in the sense of theorem 2) can have zeros only when all its jumps take place within a set consisting of the multiples of some positive number.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] RUBEL, L.A., *Advanced Problem 6131*, Amer. Math. Monthly (48)1(1977), p. 62.
- [2] WIENER, N., *Tauberian theorems*, Ann. Math. 33(1932), pp. 1-100.

ONTVANGEN 18 MEI 1977